Finite dimensional global attractor of the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system with dynamic boundary conditions

Bo You[a,*], Fang Li[b], Chang Zhang[c]

[a] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, 710049, P. R. China
[b] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xidian University, Xi'an, 710126, P. R. China
[c] Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210093, P. R. China

arXiv:1611.01918v1 [math.DS] 7 Nov 2016

Abstract

This paper is concerned with the well-posedness and the long-time behavior of solutions for the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system with dynamic boundary conditions and two polynomial growth nonlinearities of arbitrary order. Due to the shortage of the regularity of solutions, for any small $\ell > 0$, we first prove the existence of a global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ in X_ℓ (the definition of X_ℓ is given in Section 4) by the method of ℓ-trajectories for the semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ defined on X_ℓ induced by the semigroup $\{S_I(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by problem (1.1)-(1.7) and estimate the fractal dimension of the global attractor by using the smooth property of the semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$. By defining a Lipschitz continuous operator on the global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\ell$, we obtain the existence of a finite dimensional global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in the original phase space $H \times V_I$ (the definition of H and V_I is given in Section 2 and Section 3) for problem (1.1)-(1.7).

Keywords: Global attractor, Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system, Dynamic boundary conditions, Fractal dimension, The method of ℓ-trajectories.
2010 MSC: 34A12, 35B40, 35Q35, 37L30

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nu\Delta u + (u\cdot\nabla)u + \nabla p + \lambda\phi\nabla\mu = h(x), \ \ (x,t)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^+, \\ \nabla\cdot u = 0, \ \ (x,t)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + u\cdot\nabla\phi - \gamma\Delta\mu = 0, \ \ (x,t)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^+, \\ \mu = -\Delta\phi + f(\phi), \ \ (x,t)\in\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^+. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Equation (1.1) is subject to the following dynamic boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(x,t) = 0, \ \ (x,t)\in\Gamma\times\mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0, \ \ (x,t)\in\Gamma\times\mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \alpha\Delta_\Gamma\phi - \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\vec{n}} - \beta\phi - g(\phi), \ \ (x,t)\in\Gamma\times\mathbb{R}^+ \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

and initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(x,0) = u_0(x), \ \ x\in\Omega, \\ \phi(x,0) = \phi_0(x), \ \ x\in\Omega, \\ \phi(x,0) = \theta_0(x), \ \ x\in\Gamma, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

*Corresponding author.
E-mail addresses:youb2013@xjtu.edu.cn(B. You),fli@xidian.edu.cn (F. Li), chzhnju@126.com (C. Zhang)

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary Γ and $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$, $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity, $\lambda > 0$ is a surface tension parameter, $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ are constants, $\gamma > 0$ is the elastic relaxation time, $h(x) = (h_1(x), h_2(x))$ is the external force, $u(x,t) = (u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t))$ denotes the average velocity and ϕ is the difference of the two fluid concentrations, p is the fluid pressure, $\vec{n}$ is the unit external normal vector on Γ, Δ_Γ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the surface Γ of Ω.

To study problem (1.1)-(1.3), we assume the following conditions:

(H_1) the function $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies that there exists a positive constant C_1 such that

$$|f'(u) - f'(v)| \leq C_1|u - v|(|u|^{p-3} + |v|^{p-3} + 1) \tag{1.4}$$

for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$c_1|u|^p - k_1 \leq f(u)u \leq c_2|u|^p + k_1, \tag{1.5}$$

where $c_i > 0$ $(i = 1, 2)$, $p \geq 3$, $k_1 > 0$.

(H_2) the function $g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies that there exists a positive constant C_2 such that

$$|g(u) - g(v)| \leq C_2|u - v|(|u|^{q-2} + |v|^{q-2} + 1) \tag{1.6}$$

for any $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$c_3|u|^q - k_2 \leq g(u)u \leq c_4|u|^q + k_2, \tag{1.7}$$

where $c_i > 0$ $(i = 3, 4)$, $q > 2$, $k_2 > 0$.

Dynamic boundary conditions were recently proposed by physicists to describe spinodal decomposition of binary mixtures where the effective interaction between the wall (i.e., the boundary) and two mixture components is short-ranged, and this type of boundary conditions is very natural in many mathematical models such as heat transfer in a solid in contact with a moving fluid, thermoelasticity, diffusion phenomena, heat transfer in two medium, problems in fluid dynamics. The well-posedness and long-time behavior of solutions for many equations with dynamical boundary conditions have been studied extensively(see [4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 25, 34, 35, 37, 36, 38, 44]). For example, the global well-posedness of solutions for the non-isothermal Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions was proved in [18]. In [16], the author proved the existence and uniqueness of a global solution for a Cahn-Hilliard model in bounded domains with permeable walls. The global existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Cahn-Hilliard equation with highest-order boundary conditions were proved in [38]. In [37], the authors proved the maximal regularity and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions. The fact that any global weak/strong solution of the Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions converges to a single steady state as time $t \to +\infty$ was proved in [11]. In [19], the author proved the existence of a global attractor and an exponential attractor in $H^1(\Omega)$ for a homogeneous two-phase flow model and established any global weak/strong solution converges to a single steady state as time $t \to +\infty$, and provided its convergence rate. In [17], the author proved the existence of an exponential attractor for a Cahn-Hilliard model in bounded domains with permeable walls. The existence of a global attractor for the reaction-diffusion equation with dynamical boundary conditions was proved in [14]. In [34], the authors proved the existence of an exponential attractor for the Cahn-Hilliard equation with dynamical boundary conditions. In [46], the authors proved the existence of a global attractor for p-Laplacian equations with dynamical boundary conditions by using asymptotical a priori estimates.The well-posedness of solutions and the existence of a global attractor of the Cahn-Hilliard-Brinkman system with dynamic boundary conditions was proved in [45].

Diffuse-interface methods in Fluid Mechanics are widely used by many researchers in order to describe the behavior of complex fluids (see [3]). A diffuse interface variant of Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system has been proposed to model the motion of an isothermal mixture of two immiscible and incompressible fluids subject to phase separation (see[26, 27, 28]). The coupled system consists of a convective Cahn-Hilliard equation for the order parameter, i.e., the difference of the relative concentrations of the two phases, coupled with the Navier-Stokes equations for the average fluid velocity. The Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system has been investigated from the numerical (see [15, 30, 31]) and analytical (see, e.g., [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 21, 22, 23, 24, 41, 42, 47, 48]) viewpoint in several papers. The long-time behavior and well-posedness of solutions for the two dimensional Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system were proved

in [21]. Thanks to the uniqueness of solutions, the authors have proved the existence of trajectory attractors for binary fluid mixtures in 3D in [22]. In [23], the authors have considered the instability of two-phase flows and provided a lower bound on the dimension of the global attractor of the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system. The existence of pullback exponential attractor for a two dimensional Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system in [7]. In [42], the author has proved the existence of pullback attracots for a two dimensional non-autonomous Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system. Recently, the authors have considered the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system with moving contact lines and proved any suitable global energy solution will convergent to a single equilibrium in [24]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no results related to the existence of a finite dimensional global attractor for the dissipative dynamical system with dynamical boundary conditions.

In this paper, we will consider the well-posedness and the long-time behavior of solutions for the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system with dynamical boundary conditions and a polynomial growth nonlinearity of arbitrary order. Thanks to the shortage of the existence of an absorbing set in more regular space than $H \times V_I$, from the definition of weak solution, we know that we can prove the existence of a global attractor in $H \times V_I$ for the Cahn-Hilliard-Navier-Stokes system with dynamical boundary conditions by the Aubin-Lions compactness lemma, but it is very difficult to estimate the fractal dimension of the global attractor. To overcome this difficulty, in this paper, for any small $\ell > 0$, we first define a semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ on X_ℓ induced by the semigroup $\{S_I(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by problem (1.1)-(1.7), and then, we prove the existence of a global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ in X_ℓ for the semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ by the method of ℓ-trajectories and estimate the fractal dimension of the global attractor by using the smooth property of the semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$. Finally, by defining a Lipschitz continuous operator on the global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\ell$, we obtain the existence of a finite dimensional global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in the original phase space $H \times V_I$ for problem (1.1)-(1.7).

Throughout this paper, let C be a generic constant that is independent of the initial datum for ϕ. Define the average of function $\phi(x)$ over Ω as

$$m\phi = \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega \phi(x)\,dx.$$

2. Preliminaries

In order to study the problem (1.1)-(1.7), we introduce the space of divergence-free functions defined by

$$\mathcal{V} = \{u \in (C_c^\infty(\Omega))^2 : \nabla \cdot u = 0\}.$$

Denote by H and V the closure of $\mathcal{V}$ with respect to the norms in $(L^2(\Omega))^2$ and $(H_0^1(\Omega))^2$, respectively.

We define the Lebesgue spaces as follows

$$L^p(\Gamma) = \{v : \|v\|_{L^p(\Gamma)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|v\|_{L^p(\Gamma)} = \left(\int_\Gamma |v|^p\,dS\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

for $p \in [1,\infty)$. Moreover, we have

$$L^p(\Omega) \oplus L^q(\Gamma) = L^{p,q}(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu), \quad p, q \in [1,\infty)$$

and

$$\|U\|_{L^{p,q}(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} = \left(\int_\Omega |u|^p\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left(\int_\Gamma |v|^q\,dS\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for any $U = (u, v) \in L^{p,q}(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$, where the measure $d\nu = dx|_\Omega \oplus dS|_\Gamma$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ is defined by $\nu(A) = |A \cap \Omega| + S(A \cap \Gamma)$ for any measurable set $A \subset \bar{\Omega}$.

We also define the Sobolev space $H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$ as the closure of $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ with respect to the norm given by

$$\|\phi\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} = \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla\phi|^2\,dx + \int_\Gamma \alpha|\nabla_\Gamma\phi|^2 + \beta|\phi|^2\,dS\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any $\phi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, denote by X^* the dual space of X and let $H^s(\Omega)$, $H^s(\Gamma)$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$) be the usual Sobolev spaces. In general, any vector $\theta \in L^p(\Omega, d\nu)$ will be of the form (θ_1, θ_2) with $\theta_1 \in L^p(\Omega, dx)$ and $\theta_2 \in L^p(\Gamma, dS)$, and there need not be any connection between θ_1 and θ_2.

Let the operator $A : H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu) \to (H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu))^*$ be associated with the bilinear form defined by

$$a(\phi, \psi) = \langle A\phi, \psi\rangle = \int_\Omega \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla\psi\,dx + \int_\Gamma \alpha\nabla_\Gamma\phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma\psi + \beta\phi\psi\,dS \tag{2.1}$$

for any $\phi, \psi \in H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$.

Remark 2.1. *([20]) $C(\bar{\Omega})$ is a dense subspace of $L^2(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$ and a closed subspace of $L^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$.*

Next, we recall briefly some lemmas used to prove the well-posedness of weak solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.7).

Lemma 2.1. *([39])* Let O be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $1 < q < \infty$. Assume that $\{g_n\} \subset L^q(O)$ with $\|\{g_n\}\|_{L^q(O)} \le C$, where C is independent of n and there exists $g \in L^q(O)$ such that $\{g_n\} \to g$, as $n \to \infty$, almost everywhere in O. Then $g_n \to g$, as $n \to \infty$ weakly in $L^q(O)$.

Lemma 2.2. *([18, 34]) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary Γ. Consider the following linear problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta\phi = j_1, & x \in \Omega, \\ -\alpha\Delta_\Gamma\phi + \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\vec{n}} + \beta\phi = j_2, & x \in \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Assume that $(j_1, j_2) \in H^s(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$, $s \ge 0$, $s + \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then the following estimate holds

$$\|\phi\|_{H^{s+2}(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} \le C(\|j_1\|_{H^s(\Omega)} + \|j_2\|_{H^s(\Gamma)})$$

for some constant $C > 0$.

Lemma 2.3. *([43]) Let V, H, V^* be three Hilbert spaces such that $V \subset H = H^* \subset V^*$, where H^* and V^* are the dual spaces of H and V, respectively. Suppose $u \in L^2(0, T; V)$ and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in L^2(0, T; V^*)$. Then u is almost everywhere equal to a function continuous from $[0, T]$ into H.*

Finally, we give the definition of weak solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.7).

Definition 2.1. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. For any $(u_0, \Phi_0) = (u_0, \phi_0, \theta_0) \in H \times H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$ and any fixed $T > 0$, a function (u, ϕ) is called a weak solution of problem* (1.1)-(1.7) *on $(0, T)$, if*

$$\mu \in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \ \text{ is given by the fourth equation of } (1.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi \in C([0, T]; H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)), \\ &u \in C([0, T]; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V) \end{aligned}$$

satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega u_t \cdot v + \nu\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + [(u \cdot \nabla)u] \cdot v + \lambda(v\phi) \cdot \nabla\mu\,dx = \int_\Omega h \cdot v\,dx, \\ &\int_\Omega \phi_t\psi\,dx + \int_\Omega (u \cdot \nabla\phi)\psi\,dx + \gamma\int_\Omega \nabla\mu \cdot \nabla\psi\,dx = 0, \\ &\int_\Omega \nabla\phi \cdot \nabla\theta + f(\phi)\theta\,dx + \int_\Gamma \phi_t\theta + \alpha\nabla_\Gamma\phi \cdot \nabla_\Gamma\theta + \beta\phi\theta + g(\phi)\theta\,dS = \int_\Omega \mu\theta\,dx \end{aligned}$$

for all test functions $v \in V$ and $\psi, \theta \in H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$.

3. The well-posedness of weak solutions

In this section, we prove the well-posedness of weak solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.7). Now, we state it as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. Then for any $u_0 \in H$ and $\Phi_0 = (\phi_0, \theta_0) \in H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$, there exists a unique weak solution $(u(t), \phi(t))$ for problem (1.1)-(1.7) such that $m\phi(t) = m\phi_0$, which depends continuously on the initial data (u_0, ϕ_0, θ_0) with respect to the norm in $H \times H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$.*

Proof. We first prove the existence of weak solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.7) by the Faedo-Galerkin method (see [43]).

We consider the eigenvalue problems $A\psi = \lambda\psi$ and $A_1\omega = \kappa\omega$, where $A_1 = -P\Delta$ is the Stokes operator and P is the Leray-Helmotz projector from $L^2(\Omega)$ onto H. It is well-known that there exist two sequences of non-decreasing numbers $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\kappa_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and two sequences of functions $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which are orthonormal and complete in $H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$, H, respectively, such that for every $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A\psi_k &= \lambda_k\psi_k, \\ A_1\omega_k &= \kappa_k\omega_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k\to+\infty} \lambda_k &= +\infty, \\ \lim_{k\to+\infty} \kappa_k &= +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

For any $n \geq 1$, we introduce two finite-dimensional spaces $W_n = span\{\psi_1, ..., \psi_n\}$ and $H_n = span\{\omega_1, ..., \omega_n\}$. Let P_n be the orthogonal projector from $H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$ to W_n and let Π_n be the orthogonal projector from H to H_n.

Consider the approximate solution $(u_n(t), \phi_n(t))$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i(t)\omega_i, \\ \phi_n(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(t)\psi_i, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain $(u_n(t), \phi_n(t))$ from solving the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \int_\Omega \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \cdot v + \nu\nabla u_n \cdot \nabla v + [(u_n \cdot \nabla)u_n] \cdot v + \lambda(v\phi_n) \cdot \nabla\mu_n \, dx = \int_\Omega h \cdot v \, dx, \\ \int_\Omega \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial t}\psi + (u_n \cdot \nabla\phi_n)\psi + \gamma\nabla\mu_n \cdot \nabla\psi \, dx = 0, \\ \int_\Omega \nabla\phi_n \cdot \nabla\theta + f(\phi_n)\theta \, dx + \int_\Gamma \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial t}\theta + \alpha\nabla_\Gamma\phi_n \cdot \nabla_\Gamma\theta + \beta\phi_n\theta + g(\phi_n)\theta \, dS = \int_\Omega \mu_n\theta \, dx \\ \mu_n = -\Delta\phi_n + P_n f(\phi_n), \\ \int_\Omega u_n(0) \cdot \omega_k \, dx = \int_\Omega u_0 \cdot \omega_k \, dx, \ k = 1, \cdots, n, \\ \int_\Omega \phi_n(0)\psi_k \, dx = \int_\Omega \phi_0\psi_k \, dx, \ k = 1, \cdots, n, \\ \int_\Gamma \phi_n(0)\psi_k \, dS = \int_\Gamma \theta_0\psi_k \, dS, \ k = 1, \cdots, n, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

for any $v \in H_n$ and $\psi, \theta \in W_n$.

Since f, g are continuous, using the Peano theorem, we obtain the local (in time) existence of $(u_n(t), \phi_n(t))$. Next, we will establish some a priori estimates for $(u_n(t), \phi_n(t))$. Let $v = u_n$, $\psi = \mu_n$ and $\theta = \phi_n$ in equation (3.1), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|\phi_n\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \lambda\int_\Omega F(\phi_n)\,dx + \lambda\int_\Gamma G(\phi_n)\,dS\right) + \lambda\|\frac{\partial\phi_n(t)}{\partial t}\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\
&+ \lambda\gamma\|\nabla\mu_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu\|\nabla u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\phi_n\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \int_\Omega f(\phi_n)\phi_n\,dx + \int_\Gamma g(\phi_n)\phi_n\,dS \\
=&\int_\Omega \mu_n\phi_n\,dx - \int_\Gamma \frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial t}\phi_n\,dS + \int_\Omega h(x)u_n\,dx \\
\le&\|\mu_n - m\mu_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + m\mu_n m\phi_0|\Omega| + \|\frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}\|\phi_n\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
\le&C\|\nabla\mu_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |m\mu_n||m\phi_0||\Omega| + \|\frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial t}\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}\|\phi_n\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $F(s) = \int_0^s f(r)\,dr$ and $G(s) = \int_0^s g(r)\,dr$, respectively, are the primitive function of f and g.

Let $\theta = 1$ in the third equation of (3.1), we obtain

$$\left|\int_\Omega \mu_n\,dx\right| \le \|\frac{\partial\phi_n(t)}{\partial t}\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} + \beta\|\phi_n(t)\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} + \|g(\phi_n)\|_{L^1(\Gamma)} + \|f(\phi_n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Combining (1.4)-(1.7) and (3.2)-(3.3) with Hölder inequality and Young inequality, we find that there exist two positive constants δ and ϱ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}J(u_n,\phi_n) + \lambda\|\frac{\partial\phi_n(t)}{\partial t}\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \lambda\gamma\|\nabla\mu_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu\|\nabla u_n\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \delta J(u_n,\phi_n) \le \varrho, \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$J(u,\phi) = \|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + 2\lambda\int_\Omega F(\phi)\,dx + 2\lambda\int_\Gamma G(\phi)\,dS.$$

From the classical Gronwall inequality, we infer

$$\begin{aligned}
J(u_n(t),\phi_n(t)) \le& e^{-\delta t}J(u_n(0),\phi_n(0)) + \frac{\varrho}{\delta} \\
\le& e^{-\delta t}J(u_0,\Phi_0) + \frac{\varrho}{\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

From (1.4)-(1.7), we deduce that there exist four positive constants δ_1, δ_2, k_1 and k_2 such that

$$\delta_1\left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|^q_{L^q(\Gamma)}\right) - k_1 \le J(u,\phi) \le \delta_2\left(\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|^q_{L^q(\Gamma)}\right) + k_2. \tag{3.6}$$

By virtue of (3.5)-(3.6), we obtain

$$\|u_n(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\phi_n(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_n(t)\|^p_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\phi_n(t)\|^q_{L^q(\Gamma)} \le \frac{1}{\delta_1}e^{-\delta t}J(u_0,\Phi_0) + \frac{\varrho}{\delta_1\delta} + \frac{k_1}{\delta_1}. \tag{3.7}$$

Integrating (3.4) from 0 to t, we obtain

$$\lambda\int_0^t \|\frac{\partial\phi_n(s)}{\partial t}\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\,ds + \lambda\gamma\int_0^t \|\nabla\mu_n(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds + \nu\int_0^t \|\nabla u_n(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,ds \le \varrho T + J(u_0,\Phi_0) + k_1(1+\delta T), \tag{3.8}$$

for any $t \in (0, T]$.

Due to (3.3) and (3.7)-(3.8), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}(0,T;H)\cap L^2(0,T;V),\\
&\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu))\cap L^{\infty}(0,T;L^p(\Omega))\cap L^{\infty}(0,T;L^q(\Gamma)),\\
&\{\frac{\partial\phi_n(t)}{\partial t}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma)),\\
&\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exist

$$\begin{aligned}
&u\in L^{\infty}(0,T;H)\cap L^2(0,T;V),\\
&\phi\in L^{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu))\cap L^{\infty}(0,T;L^p(\Omega))\cap L^{\infty}(0,T;L^q(\Gamma)),\\
&\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma)),\\
&\chi\in L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega))
\end{aligned}$$

such that we can extract subsequences $\{u_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\phi_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\frac{\partial\phi_{n_j}}{\partial t}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\mu_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\frac{\partial\phi_n}{\partial t}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, respectively, satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_{n_j}\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}(0,T;H),\\
&u_{n_j}\rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;V),\\
&\phi_{n_j}\rightharpoonup \phi \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)),\\
&\phi_{n_j}\rightharpoonup \phi \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^p(\Omega)),\\
&\phi_{n_j}\rightharpoonup \phi \text{ weakly star in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^q(\Gamma)),\\
&\frac{\partial\phi_{n_j}(t)}{\partial t}\rightharpoonup \frac{\partial\phi(t)}{\partial t} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;L^2(\Gamma)),\\
&\mu_{n_j}\rightharpoonup \chi \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;H^1(\Omega)).
\end{aligned}$$

From (1.4)-(1.7) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\{f(\phi_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\Omega)), \tag{3.9}$$

$$\{g(\phi_n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\Gamma)). \tag{3.10}$$

We infer from (1.4)-(1.7), (3.7)-(3.8), Sobolev embedding Theorem and Lemma 2.2 that

$$\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2(0,T;H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)), \tag{3.11}$$

entails one can extract a subsequence $\{\phi_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\phi_{n_j}\rightharpoonup \phi \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)).$$

For any $v\in V$, set $v_n=\Pi_n v$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\int_{\Omega}\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}\cdot v\,dx| \le& \int_{\Omega}|u_n|^2|\nabla v_n|+\nu|\nabla u_n||\nabla v_n|+|h||v_n|+\lambda|v_n||\phi_n||\nabla\mu_n|\,dx\\
\le&\|u_n\|^2_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\nu\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
&+\|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|v_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\lambda\|v_n\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(\Omega)}\|\phi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\|\nabla\mu_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
\le&C\|u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\nu\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
&+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_1}}\|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\|\nabla\mu_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}$$

entails that

$$\{\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2(0,T;V^*).$$

For any $\psi \in H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$, set $\psi_n = P_n\psi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\int_\Omega \frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial t}\psi\, dx| \le& \int_\Omega |u_n\phi_n||\nabla\psi_n|\, dx + \gamma\int_\Omega |\nabla\mu_n||\nabla\psi_n|\, dx\\
\le& \|u_n\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}(\Omega)}\|\phi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\|\nabla\psi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \gamma\|\nabla\mu_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla\psi_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\\
\le& C\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \gamma\|\nabla\mu_n\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\{\frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial t}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ is uniformly bounded in } L^2(0,T;(H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu))^*).$$

Therefore, we can extract subsequences $\{\frac{\partial u_{n_j}}{\partial t}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\frac{\partial \phi_{n_j}}{\partial t}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of $\{\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, $\{\frac{\partial \phi_n}{\partial t}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial u_{n_j}}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;V^*)\\
&\frac{\partial \phi_{n_j}}{\partial t} \rightharpoonup \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;(H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu))^*).
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of the Aubin-Lions compactness theorem, we can extract a further subsequence (still denote by $\{u_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\phi_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$) such that additionally

$$u_{n_j} \longrightarrow \phi \text{ strongly in } L^2(0,T;H), \tag{3.12}$$

$$\phi_{n_j} \longrightarrow \phi \text{ strongly in } L^2(0,T;H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)). \tag{3.13}$$

From (3.9)-(3.10), (3.12)-(3.13) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$f(\phi_{n_j}) \rightharpoonup f(\phi) \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(0,T;L^{\frac{p-1}{p}}(\Omega)) \tag{3.14}$$

$$g(\phi_{n_j}) \rightharpoonup g(\phi) \text{ weakly in } L^{\frac{q-1}{q}}(0,T;L^{\frac{q-1}{q}}(\Gamma)). \tag{3.15}$$

Hence, we have

$$\chi = -\Delta\phi + f(\phi) = \mu.$$

Thanks to

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_T} v\cdot([u_n\cdot\nabla]u_n - [u\cdot\nabla]u)\, dx &= \int_{\Omega_T} v\cdot([(u_n-u)\cdot\nabla]u_n)\, dx + \int_{\Omega_T} v\cdot([u\cdot\nabla](u_n-u))\, dx,\\
\int_{\Omega_T} v\cdot(\phi_n\nabla\mu_n - \phi\nabla\mu)\, dx &= \int_{\Omega_T} v\cdot\nabla\mu_n(\phi_n-\phi)\, dx + \int_{\Omega_T} v\phi\cdot(\nabla\mu_n - \nabla\mu)\, dx
\end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in V$ and

$$\int_{\Omega_T}(u_n\cdot\nabla\phi_n - u\cdot\nabla\phi)\psi\, dx = -\int_{\Omega_T}\phi(u_n-u)\cdot\nabla\psi\, dx - \int_{\Omega_T}(\phi_n-\phi)u_n\cdot\nabla\psi\, dx$$

for any $\psi \in H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)$, which imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&(u_n\cdot\nabla)u_n \rightharpoonup (u\cdot\nabla)u \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;V^*),\\
&\phi_n\nabla\mu_n \rightharpoonup \phi\nabla\mu \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;V^*),\\
&u_n\cdot\nabla\phi_n \rightharpoonup u\cdot\nabla\phi \text{ weakly in } L^2(0,T;(H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu))^*),
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, a weak solution (u, ϕ) for problem (1.1)-(1.7) has been proved, and we infer $u(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; H)$ and $\phi(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu))$ from lemma 2.3.

Finally, we prove the uniqueness and the continuous dependence on the initial data of the solutions. Let (u_1, ϕ_1, p_1), (u_2, ϕ_2, p_2) be two solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.7) with the initial data $(u_{1_0}, \phi_{1_0}, \theta_{1_0})$, $(u_{2_0}, \phi_{2_0}, \theta_{1_0})$, respectively, and $m\phi_{1_0} = m\phi_{2_0}$. Let $u = u_1 - u_2$, $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$, $p = p_1 - p_2$, then (u, ϕ, p) satisfies the following equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nu\Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u_2 + u_1 \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = -\lambda\phi_1\nabla\mu - \lambda\phi\nabla\mu_2, \ \ (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \ \ (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla\phi_1 + u_2 \cdot \nabla\phi - \gamma\Delta\mu = 0, \ \ (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2 = -\Delta\phi + f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2), \ \ (x,t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+. \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

Equation (3.16) is subject to the following boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(x,t) = 0, \ \ (x,t) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \vec{n}} = 0, \ \ (x,t) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^+, \\ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \alpha\Delta_\Gamma\phi + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \vec{n}} + \beta\phi + g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2) = 0, \ \ (x,t) \in \Gamma \times \mathbb{R}^+ \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

and initial conditions

$$\begin{cases} u(x,0) = u_{1_0} - u_{2_0}, \ \ x \in \Omega, \\ \phi(x,0) = \phi_{1_0} - \phi_{2_0}, \ \ x \in \Omega, \\ \phi(x,0) = \theta_{1_0} - \theta_{1_0}, \ \ x \in \Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

Multiplying the first equation and the third equation of (3.16) by u, $-\lambda\Delta\phi$, respectively, and integrating by parts, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}) + \lambda\|\phi_t(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \nu\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\gamma\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ =&\lambda\gamma\int_\Omega \nabla(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)) \cdot \nabla\Delta\phi \, dx - \lambda\int_\Gamma (g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2))\phi_t \, dS - \lambda\int_\Omega (u_2\phi) \cdot \nabla\Delta\phi \, dx \\ &+ \lambda\int_\Omega (u \cdot \nabla\phi_1)(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)) + (u\phi) \cdot \nabla\mu_2 \, dx - \int_\Omega [(u \cdot \nabla)u_2] \cdot u \, dx \\ \le&\lambda\gamma\int_\Omega \nabla(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)) \cdot \nabla\Delta\phi \, dx + \lambda\|g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}\|\phi_t\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \\ &+ \lambda\|u\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\nabla\phi_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + \lambda\|u_2\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\phi\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &+ \lambda\|u\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\phi\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\nabla\mu_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|u\|^2_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\nabla u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Due to

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_\Omega \nabla(f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)) \cdot \nabla\Delta\phi \, dx\right| \le& \left|\int_\Omega (f'(\phi_1) - f'(\phi_2))\nabla\phi_1 \cdot \nabla\Delta\phi \, dx\right| + \left|\int_\Omega f'(\phi_2)\nabla\phi \cdot \nabla\Delta\phi \, dx\right| \\ \le& C\|\nabla\phi_1\|_{L^6(\Omega)}(1 + \|\phi_1\|^{p-3}_{L^{6(p-3)}(\Omega)} + \|\phi_2\|^{p-3}_{L^{6(p-3)}(\Omega)})\|\phi\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_2 \\ &+ C(1 + \|\phi_2\|^{p-2}_{L^{4(p-2)}(\Omega)})\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_2 \\ \le& C\|\phi_1\|_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}(1 + \|\phi_1\|^{p-3}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_2\|^{p-3}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\|\phi\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_2 \\ &+ C(1 + \|\phi_2\|^{p-2}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^4(\Omega)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_2 \\ \le& C\|\phi_1\|_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}(1 + \|\phi_1\|^{p-3}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_2\|^{p-3}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\|\phi\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_2 \\ &+ C(1 + \|\phi_2\|^{p-2}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})(\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_2)\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_2 \\ \le& C\|\phi_1\|_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}(1 + \|\phi_1\|^{p-3}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_2\|^{p-3}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\|\phi\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_2 \\ &+ C(1 + \|\phi_2\|^{p-2}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})(\|\phi\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_2)\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where we use the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality:

$$\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \le C\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_2\|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(\phi_1) - f(\phi_2)\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \le& C(1 + \|\phi_1\|^{p-2}_{L^{8(p-2)}(\Omega)} + \|\phi_2\|^{p-2}_{L^{8(p-2)}(\Omega)})\|\phi\|_{L^8(\Omega)}\\ \le& C(1 + \|\phi_1\|^{p-2}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_2\|^{p-2}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\|\phi\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)},\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|g(\phi_1) - g(\phi_2)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)} \le& C(1 + \|\phi_1\|^{q-2}_{L^{4(q-2)}(\Gamma)} + \|\phi_2\|^{q-2}_{L^{4(q-2)}(\Gamma)})\|\phi\|_{L^4(\Gamma)}\\ \le& C(1 + \|\phi_1\|^{q-2}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_2\|^{q-2}_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\|\phi\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)},\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

we infer from (3.19)-(3.22) and Young inequality that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}) \le \mathbb{L}(t)(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}), \tag{3.23}$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}(t) = C(1 + \|\phi_1\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_2\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\nabla u_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla\mu_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}). \tag{3.24}$$

Therefore, we conclude from (3.8), (3.11) and (3.24) that

$$\int_0^T \mathbb{L}(s)\,ds = \mathcal{M}(T) < \infty.$$

From the classical Gronwall inequality, we obtain

$$\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \max\{1,\alpha,\beta\}\left(\|u_{1_0} - u_{2_0}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla\phi_{1_0} - \nabla\phi_{2_0}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\theta_{1_0} - \theta_{2_0}\|^2_{H^1(\Gamma)}\right)e^{\mathcal{M}(T)},$$

Therefore, $(u_1(x,t),\phi_1(x,t)) = (u_2(x,t),\phi_2(x,t))$ a.e. in $\overline{\Omega_T}$, if $u_{1_0}(x) = u_{2_0}(x)$, $\phi_{1_0}(x) = \phi_{2_0}(x)$ in Ω and $\theta_{1_0}(x) = \theta_{2_0}(x)$ in Γ, and $(u(x,t),\phi(x,t))$ depends continuously on the initial data (u_0,ϕ_0,θ_0) with respect to the norm in $H\times H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)$. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

□

For every fixed $I \in \mathbb{R}$, let $V_I = \{\phi \in H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu) : m\phi = I\}$, by Theorem 3.1, we can define the operator semigroup $\{S_I(t)\}_{t\ge0}$ in $H\times V_I$ by

$$S_I(t)(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0) = (u(t),\phi(t)) = (u(t;(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0)),\phi(t;(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0)))$$

for all $t \ge 0$, which is $(H\times V_I, H\times V_I)$-continuous, where $(u(t),\phi(t))$ is the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.7) with $(u(x,0),\phi(x,0)) = (u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0) \in H\times V_I$.

4. The existence of global attractors

4.1. The existence of a global attractor in $L^2(0,\ell;H\times V_I)$

In this section, we will consider the existence of attractors for problem (1.1)-(1.7) by using the ℓ-trajectory method. From Theorem 3.1, we know that the solution $(u(t),\phi(t))$ of problem (1.1)-(1.7) with initial data (u_0,ϕ_0,θ_0) in $H\times V_I$ is unique. Therefore, for any $\ell > 0$, there is only one short trajectory $[\chi(\tau,(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0))]_{\tau\in[0,\ell]} \in C(0,\ell;H\times V_I)$ may start from the initial data $(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0) \in H\times V_I$, for the sake of simplicity, which is denoted by $\chi(\tau,(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0))$. Denote by X_ℓ the set of all ℓ-trajectories which is equipped with the topology of $L^2(0,\ell;H\times V_I)$. since $X_\ell \subset C(0,\ell;H\times V_I)$, it makes sense to talk about the point values of trajectories. On the other hand, it is not clear whether X_ℓ is closed in

$L^2(0,\ell;H\times V_I)$ and hence X_ℓ in general is not a complete metric space. In what follows, we first give the definition of some operators and recall the mathematical framework of attractor.

For any $t\in[0,1]$, we define the mapping $e_t : X_\ell \to H\times V_I$ by

$$e_t(\chi) = \chi(t\ell).$$

The mapping $b : H\times V_I \to X_\ell$ is given by

$$b((u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0)) = S_I(\tau,(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0)),\quad \tau\in[0,\ell]$$

and we define the operators $L_t : X_\ell \to X_\ell$ by the relation

$$L_t b((u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0)) = (u,\phi)(t+\tau,(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0)) = S_I(t+\tau)(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0),\quad \tau\in[0,\ell]$$

for any $(u_0,\phi_0,\theta_0)\in H\times V_I$, where (u,ϕ) is the unique solution of problem (1.1)-(1.7) with initial data (u_0,ϕ_0,θ_0), we can easily prove the operators $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a semigroup on X_ℓ.

Lemma 4.1. *([10, 29, 32, 33, 40]) Assume that $p_1\in(1,\infty]$, $p_2\in[1,\infty)$. Let X be a Banach space and let X_0, X_1 be separable and reflexive Banach spaces such that $X_0\subset\subset X\subset X_1$. Then*

$$Y=\{u\in L^{p_1}(0,\ell;X_0) : u'\in L^{p_2}(0,\ell;X_1)\}\subset\subset L^{p_1}(0,\ell;X),$$

where ℓ is a fixed positive constant.

Definition 4.1. *([39, 43]) Let H be a separable real Hilbert space. For any non-empty compact subset $K\subset H$, the fractal dimension of K is the number*

$$d_f(K)=\limsup_{\epsilon\to 0^+}\frac{\log(N_\epsilon(K))}{\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})},$$

where $N_\epsilon(K)$ denotes the minimum number of open balls in H with radii $\epsilon>0$ that are necessary to cover K.

Lemma 4.2. *[33] Let X, Y be norm spaces such that $X\subset\subset Y$ and $\mathcal{A}\subset Y$ be bounded. Assume that there exists a mapping L such that $L\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$ and $L : Y\to X$ is Lipschitz continuous on $\mathcal{A}$. Then $d_f(\mathcal{A})$ is finite.*

Lemma 4.3. *([32]) Let X and Y be two metric spaces and $f : X\to Y$ be α-Hölder continuous on the subset $A\subset X$. Then*

$$d_F(f(A),Y)\leq\frac{1}{\alpha}d_F(A,X).$$

In particular, the fractal dimension does not increase under a Lipschitz continuous mapping.

Definition 4.2. *([39, 43]) Let $\{S(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ be a semigroup on a Banach space X. A set $\mathcal{A}\subset X$ is said to be a global attractor if the following conditions hold:*

(i) $\mathcal{A}$ is compact in X.

(ii) $\mathcal{A}$ is strictly invariant, i.e., $S(t)\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$ for any $t\geq 0$.

(iii) For any bounded subset $B\subset X$ and for any neighborhood $\mathcal{O}=\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ in X, there exists a time $\tau_0=\tau_0(B)$ such that $S(t)B\subset\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ for any $t\geq\tau_0$.

Next, we will carry out some a priori estimates to obtain the existence of absorbing sets in $L^2(0,\ell;H\times V_I)$ and $L^2(0,\ell;V\times H^2(\overline{\Omega},d\nu))$ for problem (1.1)-(1.7).

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. Then there exists a positive constant ρ_1 satisfying for any bounded subset $B \subset H \times V_I$, there exists a time $\tau_1 = \tau_1(B) > 0$ such that for any weak solutions of problem* (1.1)-(1.7) *with initial data $(u_0, \phi_0, \theta_0) \in B$, we have*

$$\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \int_0^\ell \|u(t+s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\, ds \le \rho_1$$

for any $t \ge \tau_1$.

Proof. From (3.5), we infer that for any bounded subset $B \subset H \times V_I$, there exists a time $\tau_0 = \tau_0(B) > 0$ such that

$$J(u(t), \phi(t)) \le \delta_1 + \frac{\varrho}{\delta}$$

for any $t \ge \tau_0$, which implies that

$$\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} \le 1 + \frac{\varrho}{\delta_1\delta} + \frac{k_1}{\delta_1}$$

for any $t \ge \tau_0$.

From (3.4), we deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}J(u,\phi) + \lambda\|\phi_t(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \lambda\gamma\|\nabla\mu\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \delta J(u,\phi) \le \varrho. \tag{4.1}$$

Integrating (4.1) from 0 to ℓ and combining (3.6), we obtain

$$\lambda\int_0^\ell \|\phi_t(r)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\, dr + \delta\int_0^\ell J(u(r),\phi(r))\, dr \le \varrho\ell + J(u(0),\phi(0)) + k_1. \tag{4.2}$$

Integrating (4.1) from r to $t + r$ and integrating the resulting inequality with respect to r over $(0, \ell)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\ell J(u(t+r),\phi(t+r))\, dr \le& e^{-\delta t}\int_0^\ell J(u(r),\phi(r))\, dr + \ell\frac{\varrho}{\delta}(1 - e^{-\delta t})\\ \le& e^{-\delta t}\frac{1}{\delta}(\varrho\ell + J(u(0),\phi(0)) + k_1) + \ell\frac{\varrho}{\delta},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\int_0^\ell \|u(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+r)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\, dr \le e^{-\delta t}\frac{1}{\delta\delta_1}(\varrho\ell + J(u(0),\phi(0)) + k_1) + \ell\frac{\varrho}{\delta\delta_1} + \frac{k_1}{\delta_1}.$$

Therefore, for any bounded subset $B \subset H \times V_I$, there exists a time $\tau_1 = \tau_1(B) > \tau_0$ such that

$$\int_0^\ell \|u(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+r)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\, dr \le 1 + \ell\frac{\varrho}{\delta\delta_1} + \frac{k_1}{\delta_1} \tag{4.3}$$

for any $t \ge \tau_1$.

□

Corollary 4.1. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. Then for any bounded subset $B \subset X_\ell$, there exists a time $\tau_0 = \tau_0(B) > 0$ such that for any weak solutions of problem* (1.1)-(1.7) *with short trajectory $\chi \in B$, we have*

$$\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \int_0^\ell \|u(t+s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\, ds \le \rho_1$$

for any $t \ge \tau_0$.

Theorem 4.2. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. Then there exists a positive constant ρ_2 satisfying for any bounded subset $B \subset X_\ell$, there exists a time $\tau_2 = \tau_2(B) > 0$ such that for any weak solutions of problem* (1.1)-(1.7) *with initial data $(u_0, \phi_0, \theta_0) \in B$, we have*

$$\int_0^\ell \|\nabla u(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+r)\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\,dr + \left(\int_0^\ell \|u_t(t+r)\|_{V^*} + \|\phi_t(t+r)\|_{V_I^*}\,dr\right)^2 \le \rho_2$$

for any $t \ge \tau_2$.

Proof. Let $B \subset X_\ell$ be a bounded set. From the proof of Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.1, we know that there exists a $t_0 = t_0(B)$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \int_0^\ell J(u(t+r),\phi(t+r))\,dr \le 2 + \ell\frac{\varrho}{\delta} + \frac{\varrho}{\delta_1\delta} + \frac{k_1}{\delta_1} \tag{4.4}$$

for any $t \ge t_0$.

Integrating (4.1) between $t-s$ and $t+\ell$ with $t \ge t_0 + \frac{\ell}{2}$, $s \in (0, \frac{\ell}{2})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\lambda\int_0^\ell \|\phi_t(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\,dr + \lambda\gamma\int_0^\ell \|\nabla\mu(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,dr + \nu\int_0^\ell \|\nabla u(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ \le& J(u(t-s),\phi(t-s)) + \varrho(l+s) + k_1 + \delta k_1\ell.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

After integrating (4.5) with respect to s over $(0, \frac{\ell}{2})$ and combining (4.4), we have

$$\lambda\int_0^\ell \|\phi_t(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)}\,dr + \lambda\gamma\int_0^\ell \|\nabla\mu(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\,dr + \nu\int_0^\ell \|\nabla u(t+r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \varrho_1 \tag{4.6}$$

for any $t \ge t_0 + \frac{\ell}{2}$.

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and (4.4), (4.6) that

$$\int_0^\ell \|\nabla u(t+s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+s)\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\,ds \le \rho_2 \tag{4.7}$$

for any $t \ge t_0 + \frac{\ell}{2}$.

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we conclude

$$\|u_t\|_{V^*} \le C\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \nu\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_1}}\|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\|\phi\|_{V_I}\|\nabla\mu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \tag{4.8}$$

and

$$\|\phi_t\|_{V_I^*} \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi\|_{V_I} + \gamma\|\nabla\mu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{4.9}$$

Integrating (4.8)-(4.9) over $(t, t+\ell)$ and combining (4.6)-(4.7) with Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\int_0^\ell \|u_t(t+r)\|_{V^*} + \|\phi_t(t+r)\|_{V_I^*}\,dr \le \varrho_3 \tag{4.10}$$

for any $t \ge t_0 + \frac{\ell}{2}$.

□

Let

$$Y = \left\{\chi(\tau, e_0(\chi)) \in X_\ell : \chi(\tau, e_0(\chi)) \in L^2(0,\ell; V \times H^2(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu), \chi_t(\tau, e_0(\chi)) \in L^2(0,\ell; V^* \times (H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu))^*\right\}$$

equipped with the following norm

$$\|\chi\|_Y = \left\{ \int_0^\ell \|\chi(r)\|^2_{V\times H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} dr + \left(\int_0^\ell \|\chi_t(r)\|_{V^*\times(H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu))^*} dr \right)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Define

$$B_0^\ell = \left\{ \chi \in X_\ell : \int_0^\ell \|\chi(r)\|^2_{H\times V_I} dr + \|e_0(\chi)\|^2_{H\times V_I} \le \rho_1 \right\}$$

and

$$B_1^\ell = \left\{ \chi \in X_\ell : \|\chi\|_Y^2 \le \rho_2 \right\}.$$

From Corollary 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we know that $\overline{L_\ell B_0^\ell}^{L^2(0,\ell;H\times V_I)} \subset B_1^\ell$ and $L_t B_i^\ell \subset B_i^\ell$ $(i = 0, 1)$ for any $t \ge 0$.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. Then the mapping $L_t : X_\ell \to X_\ell$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on B_1^ℓ for all $t \ge 0$.*

Proof. For any fixed $t > 0$, let (u_1, ϕ_1, p_1), (u_2, ϕ_2, p_2) be two solutions for problem (1.1)-(1.7) with the initial data $(u_{1_0}, \phi_{1_0}, \theta_{1_0})$, $(u_{2_0}, \phi_{2_0}, \theta_{1_0})$, respectively, and $m\phi_{1_0} = m\phi_{2_0}$. Let $u = u_1 - u_2$, $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$, $p = p_1 - p_2$, from the proof of Theorem 3.1, we conclude

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}) \le \mathbb{L}(t)(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}), \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}(t) = C(1 + \|\phi_1\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_2\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\nabla u_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla\mu_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

Let $s \in (0, \ell)$ and integrating (4.11) from s to $t + s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t+s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} \\ \le &\int_s^{t+s} \mathbb{L}(r)(\|u(r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(r)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\, dr + \|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

From the classical Gronwall inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t+s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} \le &(\|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}) \exp(\int_s^{t+s} \mathbb{L}(r)\, dr) \\ \le &\mathcal{M}_\ell(\|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}), \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where

$$M_\ell = \exp(\int_0^{t+\ell} \mathbb{L}(r)\, dr)$$

is a finite number depending on $(u_{1_0}, \phi_{1_0}, \theta_{1_0})$ and $(u_{2_0}, \phi_{2_0}, \theta_{1_0})$ by using Theorem 3.1.

Integrating (4.13) with respect to s for 0 to ℓ, we obtain

$$\int_0^\ell \|u(t+s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t+s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\, ds \le \mathcal{M}_\ell \int_0^\ell \|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\, ds, \tag{4.14}$$

which implies the mapping $L_t : X_\ell \to X_\ell$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on B_1^ℓ for all $t \ge 0$.

□

From Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2 and the Aubin-Lions compactness Lemma 4.1, we can obtain the existence of a global attractor in $L^2(0, \ell; H \times V_I)$ stated as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. Then the semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by problem (1.1)-(1.7) possesses a global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ in $L^2(0,\ell; H\times V_I)$ and $e_t(\mathcal{A}_\ell)$ is uniformly bounded in $H\times V_I$ with respect to $t\in[0,1]$, where*

$$e_t(\mathcal{A}_\ell) = \{e_t(\chi) : \chi \in \mathcal{A}_\ell\}$$

for any $t\in[0,1]$.

In what follows, we prove the smooth property of the semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ to estimate the fractal dimension of the global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\ell$.

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold, $\ell \in (0,\ell_0]$ with ℓ_0 given in (4.16), let χ^1 and χ^2 be two short trajectories belonging to $\mathcal{A}_\ell$. Then there exists a positive constant κ independent of t such that for arbitrary $t\geq\ell$, we have*

$$\|L_t\chi^1 - L_t\chi^2\|_Y^2 \leq \kappa\mathcal{M}(t)\int_0^\ell \|\chi^1(r)-\chi^2(r)\|_{H\times V_I}^2\, dr.$$

Proof. For any $\chi^1,\chi^2\in\mathcal{A}_\ell$, let $(u_1(t+\tau),\phi_1(t+\tau)) = L_t b(e_0(\chi^1))$, $(u_2(t+\tau),\phi_2(t+\tau)) = L_t b(e_0(\chi^2))$ and let $u=u_1-u_2$, $\phi=\phi_1-\phi_2$. Thanks to $e_t(\chi^1)$ and $e_t(\chi^2)$ is uniformly bounded in $H\times V_I$ with respect to $t\in[0,1]$ for any $\chi^1,\chi^2\in\mathcal{A}_\ell$, from the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|_{H^1(\bar\Omega,d\nu)}^2) + \lambda\|\phi_t(t)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 + \nu\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda\gamma\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\\ \leq&\mathbb{L}(t)(\|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|_{H^1(\bar\Omega,d\nu)}^2),\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}(t) = C(1+\|\phi_1\|_{H^2(\bar\Omega,d\nu)}^2 + \|\phi_2\|_{H^2(\bar\Omega,d\nu)}^2 + \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|\nabla\mu_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2).$$

For any $t\geq\ell$, integrating (4.15) from $t-s$ to $t+r$, where $s\in[0,\frac{\ell}{2}]$ and $r\in[0,\ell]$, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned}&\|u(t+r)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda\|\phi(t+r)\|_{H^1(\bar\Omega,d\nu)}^2 + \int_{t-s}^{t+r}\lambda\|\phi_t(\zeta)\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 + \nu\|\nabla u(\zeta)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda\gamma\|\nabla\Delta\phi(\zeta)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2\, d\zeta\\ \leq&\int_{t-s}^{t+r}\mathbb{L}(\zeta)(\|u(\zeta)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda\|\phi(\zeta)\|_{H^1(\bar\Omega,d\nu)}^2)\, d\zeta + \|u(t-s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda\|\phi(t-s)\|_{H^1(\bar\Omega,d\nu)}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\eta(t) = \sup_{\zeta\in[-\frac{\ell}{2},\ell]}(\|u(t+\zeta)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \lambda\|\phi(t+\zeta)\|_{H^1(\bar\Omega,d\nu)}^2).$$

From the proof of Theorem 4.1, we infer that there exist two positive constants K and M independent of t and ℓ such that

$$\int_{t-\frac{\ell}{2}}^{t+\ell}\mathbb{L}(\zeta)\, d\zeta \leq M + K\ell,$$

which implies that there exists some small positive constant ℓ_0 such that

$$\int_{t-\frac{\ell}{2}}^{t+\ell}\mathbb{L}(\zeta)\, d\zeta \leq 1\tag{4.16}$$

for any $\ell \in (0, \ell_0]$. Therefore, we conclude that for any $\ell \in (0, \ell_0]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\eta(t) + \int_{-s}^{\ell} \lambda \|\phi_t(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \nu \|\nabla u(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\gamma \|\nabla\Delta\phi(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\, d\zeta \\
\leq &\eta(t) \int_{t-\frac{\ell}{2}}^{t+\ell} \mathbb{L}(\zeta)\, d\zeta + \|u(t-s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(t-s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)} \\
\leq &\eta(t) + \|u(t-s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(t-s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)},
\end{aligned}$$

which entails

$$\int_0^{\ell} \nu \|\nabla u(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\gamma \|\nabla\Delta\phi(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\, d\zeta \leq \|u(t-s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(t-s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}. \tag{4.17}$$

Integrating (4.17) over $(0, \frac{\ell}{2})$ with respect to s, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\ell} \nu \|\nabla u(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\gamma \|\nabla\Delta\phi(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\, d\zeta \leq \frac{2}{\ell} \int_0^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \|u(t-s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(t-s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}\, ds.$$

For any $t \geq \ell$ and any $s \in [0, \frac{\ell}{2}]$, integrating (4.15) from s to $t-s$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u(t-s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(t-s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)} \\
\leq &\int_s^{t-s} \mathbb{L}(r)(\|u(r)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(r)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)})\, dr + (\|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the classical Gronwall inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t-s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(t-s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)} \leq &(\|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}) \exp(\int_s^{t-s} \mathbb{L}(r)\, dr) \\
\leq &(\|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}) \exp(\int_0^{t} \mathbb{L}(r)\, dr).
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above inequality over $(0, \frac{\ell}{2})$ with respect to s, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \|u(t-s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(t-s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}\, ds &\leq \exp(\int_0^{t} \mathbb{L}(r)\, dr) \int_0^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}\, ds \\
&\leq \exp(\int_0^{t} \mathbb{L}(r)\, dr) \int_0^{\ell} \|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}\, ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to $\mathcal{M}(t)$ is bounded for any fixed $t \in (0, S\,]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^{\ell} \nu \|\nabla u(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\gamma \|\nabla\Delta\phi(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\, d\zeta \leq \frac{2\mathcal{M}(t)}{\ell} \int_0^{\ell} \|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}\, ds.$$

It follows from the Sobolev trace Theorem that

$$\int_0^{\ell} \nu \|\nabla u(t+\zeta)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\gamma \|\phi(t+\zeta)\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}\, d\zeta \leq \kappa_1 \mathcal{M}(t) \int_0^{\ell} \|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda \|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)}\, ds. \tag{4.18}$$

Thanks to

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u_t\|_{V^*} \leq &\nu \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\
&+ C\|\phi_1\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)} \|\nabla \mu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C\|\phi\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega}, d\nu)} \|\nabla \mu_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$\|\phi_t\|_{(H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu))^*} \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi_1\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + C\|\nabla u_2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|\phi\|_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \gamma\|\nabla\mu\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \tag{4.20}$$

we infer from Theorem 4.5, (3.19), (4.21)-(4.20) that

$$\left(\int_0^\ell \|u_t(t+r)\|_{V^*} + \|\phi_t(t+r)\|_{(H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu))^*}\,dr\right)^2 \le \kappa_2\mathcal{M}(t)\int_0^\ell \|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}\,ds. \tag{4.21}$$

The proof of Theorem 4.4 is completed.

□

From Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.5, we immediately obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$, (H_1)-(H_2) hold and $\ell \in (0, \ell_0]$ with ℓ_0 given in* (4.16)*. Then the fractal dimension of the global attractor $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ in X_ℓ of the semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\ge0}$ generated by problem* (1.1)-(1.7) *established in Theorem 4.5 is finite.*

4.2. The existence of a global attractor in $H \times V_I$

In this subsection, we prove the existence of a finite dimensional global attractor in $H \times V_I$ of the semigroup generated by problem (1.1)-(1.7).

Theorem 4.6. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. Then the mapping $e_{\frac{1}{2}} : \mathcal{A}_\ell \to \mathcal{A}$ is Lipschitz continuous. That is, for any two short trajectories $\chi^1, \chi^2 \in \mathcal{A}_\ell$, there exists a positive constant θ dependent on ℓ such that*

$$\|e_{\frac{1}{2}}(\chi^1) - e_{\frac{1}{2}}(\chi^2)\|^2_{H\times V_I} \le \theta\int_0^\ell \|\chi^1(r) - \chi^2(r)\|^2_{H\times V_I}\,dr.$$

Proof. For any $\chi^1, \chi^2 \in \mathcal{A}_\ell$, let $(u_1(t+\tau), \phi_1(t+\tau)) = L_t b(e_0(\chi^1))$, $(u_2(t+\tau), \phi_2(t+\tau)) = L_t b(e_0(\chi^2))$ and let $u = u_1 - u_2$, $\phi = \phi_1 - \phi_2$. Thanks to $e_0(\chi^1)$ and $e_0(\chi^2)$ is uniformly bounded in $H \times V_I$ for any $\chi^1, \chi^2 \in \mathcal{A}_\ell$, from the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}) + \lambda\|\phi_t(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Gamma)} + \nu\|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\gamma\|\nabla\Delta\phi\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}\\ \le&\mathbb{L}(t)(\|u(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(t)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)}),\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

where

$$\mathbb{L}(t) = C(1 + \|\phi_1\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\phi_2\|^2_{H^2(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} + \|\nabla u_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\nabla\mu_2\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

For $s \in (0, \frac{\ell}{2})$, we infer from the classical Gronwall inequality and (4.22) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(\frac{\ell}{2})\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(\frac{\ell}{2})\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} \le&(\|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\exp(\int_s^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\mathbb{L}(r)\,dr)\\ \le&(\|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\exp(\int_0^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\mathbb{L}(r)\,dr).\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Integrating (4.23) over $(0, \frac{\ell}{2})$, we obtain

$$\|u(\frac{\ell}{2})\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(\frac{\ell}{2})\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)} \le \frac{2}{\ell}\exp(\int_0^\ell \mathbb{L}(r)\,dr)\int_0^\ell (\|u(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \lambda\|\phi(s)\|^2_{H^1(\bar{\Omega},d\nu)})\,ds.$$

Thanks to (4.2) and Lemma 2.2, we know that

$$\mathcal{M}_\ell = \exp(\int_0^\ell \mathbb{L}(r)\,dr) < +\infty,$$

which implies that the mapping $e_{\frac{1}{2}} : \mathcal{A}_\ell \to \mathcal{A}$ is Lipschitz continuous.

□

Theorem 4.7. *Assume that $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ and (H_1)-(H_2) hold. Then the semigroup $\{S_I(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by problem* (1.1)-(1.7) *possesses a global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ in $H \times V_I$. Furthermore, if $\ell \in (0, \ell_0]$ with ℓ_0 given in* (4.16)*, then the fractal dimension of the global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ is finite.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.3, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.6, we know that $\mathcal{A}$ is compact and the fractal dimension of $\mathcal{A}$ is finite. As a result of $L_t\mathcal{A}_\ell = \mathcal{A}_\ell$, we have

$$S_I(t)\mathcal{A} = S_I(t)e_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{A}_\ell) = e_{\frac{1}{2}}(L_t\mathcal{A}_\ell) = e_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{A}_\ell) = \mathcal{A}$$

for any $t \geq 0$. Let $B_1 = e_{\frac{1}{2}}(B_1^\ell)$. In what follows, we will prove that

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} dist_{H\times V_I}(S_I(t)B_1, \mathcal{A}) = 0.$$

Otherwise, there exist some positive constant ϵ_0, some sequence $\{(u_n, \phi_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset B_1$ and some $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $t_n \to +\infty$ as $n \to +\infty$ such that

$$dist_{H\times V_I}(S_I(t_n)(u_n, \phi_n), \mathcal{A}) \geq \epsilon_0. \tag{4.24}$$

From the definition of B_1, we deduce that there exists $\chi_n \in B_1^\ell$ such that

$$(u_n, \phi_n) = e_{\frac{1}{2}}(\chi_n).$$

Since $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in X_ℓ and $\mathcal{A}_\ell$ is a global attractor in $L^2(0, \ell; H \times V_I)$ of the semigroup $\{L_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ generated by problem (1.1)-(1.7), there exist a subsequence $\{\chi_{n_j}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\{\chi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and a subsequence $\{t_{n_j}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that

$$L_{t_{n_j}}\chi_{n_j} \to \chi \in \mathcal{A}_\ell \ \ in \ \ X_\ell \ \ for \ as \ \ j \to +\infty.$$

Thanks to the continuity of $e_{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$S_I(t_{n_j})(u_{n_j}, \phi_{n_j}) = e_{\frac{1}{2}}(L_{t_{n_j}}\chi_{n_j}) \to e_{\frac{1}{2}}(\chi) = \chi(\frac{\ell}{2}) \in \mathcal{A} \ \ in \ \ H \times V_I \ \ as \ \ j \to +\infty.$$

which contradicts with (4.24).

□

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China Grant (11401459) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province (2015JM1010).

References

[1] H. Abels, Long-time behavior of solutions of a navier-stokes/cahn-hilliard system, Proceedings of the Conference Nonlocal and Abstract Parabolic Equations and their Applications, Bedlewo, Banach Center Publications 86 (2009) 9–19.

[2] H. Abels, On a diffusive interface model for two-phase flows of viscous, incompressible fluids with matched densities, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 194 (2009) 463–506.

[3] D. M. Anderson, G. B. McFadden, A. A. Wheeler, Diffuse-interface methods in fluid mechanics, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 30 (1998) 139–165.

[4] J. M. Arrieta, A. N. Carvalho, A. R. Bernal, Parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions and critical noninearities, Journal of Differential Equations 156 (1999) 376–406.

[5] J. M. Arrieta, A. N. Carvalho, A. R. Bernal, Attractors of parabolic problems with nonlinear boundary conditions uniform bounds, Communications in Partial Differential Equations 25(1-2) (2000) 1–37.

[6] A. R. Bernal, Attractors for parabolic equations with nonlinear boundary conditions, critical exponents and singular initial data, Journal of Differential Equations 181 (2002) 165–196.

[7] S. Bosia, S. Gatti, Pullback exponential attractor for a cahn-hilliard-navier-stokes system in 2d, Dynamics of Partial Differential Equations 11 (2014) 1–38.

[8] F. Boyer, Mathematical study of multi-phase flow under shear through order parameter formulation, Asymptotic Analysis 20 (1999) 175–212.

[9] C. S. Cao, C. G. Gal, Global solutions for the 2d navier-stokes-cahn-hilliard model for a two-phase flow of viscous, incompressible fluids with mixed partial viscosity and mobility, Nonlinearity 25 (2012) 3211–3234.
[10] V. Chepyzhov, M. Vishik, Attractors for equations of mathematical physics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
[11] R. Chill, E. Fasangova, J. Pruss, Convergence to steady states of solutions of the cahn-hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions, Mathematische Nachrichten 279 (2006) 1448–1462.
[12] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Global existence for fully parabolic boundary value problems, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications 13 (2006) 91–118.
[13] A. Constantin, J. Escher, Z. Yin, Global solutions for quasilinear parabolic systems, Journal of Differential Equations 197 (2004) 73–84.
[14] Z. H. Fan, C. K. Zhong, Attractors for parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, Nonlinear Analysis 68 (2008) 1723–1732.
[15] X. B. Feng, Fully discrete element approximations of the navier-stokes-cahn-hilliard diffuse interface model for two-phase fluid flows, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 44(3) (2006) 1049–1072.
[16] C. G. Gal, A cahn-hilliard model in bounded domains with permeable walls, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 29 (2006) 2009–2036.
[17] C. G. Gal, Exponential attractors for a cahn-hilliard model in bounded domains with permeable walls, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations 2006 (2006) 1–23.
[18] C. G. Gal, Global well-posedness for the non-isothermal cahn-hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions, Advances in Differential Equations 12(11) (2007) 1241–1274.
[19] C. G. Gal, Long-time behavior for a model of homogeneous incompressible two-phase flows, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 28(1) (2010) 1–39.
[20] C. G. Gal, On a class of degenerate parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, Journal of Differential Equations 253 (2012) 126–166.
[21] C. G. Gal, M. Grasselli, Asymptotic behavior of a cahn-hilliard-navier-stokes system in 2d, Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Analyse Non Linéaire 27 (2010) 401–436.
[22] C. G. Gal, M. Grasselli, Trajectory attractors for binary fluid mixtures in 3d, Chinese Annals of Mathematics-B 31 (2010) 655–678.
[23] C. G. Gal, M. Grasselli, Instability of two-phase flows: a lower bound on the dimension of the global attractor of the cahn-hilliard-navier-stokes system, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena 240 (2011) 629–635.
[24] C. G. Gal, M. Grasselli, A. Miranville, Cahn-hilliard-navier-stokes system with moving contact lines, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems (2015) hal–01135747.
[25] C. G. Gal, H. Wu, Asymptotic behavior of a cahn-hilliard equation with wentzell boundary conditions and mass conservation, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 22 (2008) 1041–1063.
[26] M. E. Gurtin, D. Polignone, J. Vinals, Two-phase binary fluids and immiscible fluids described by an order parameter, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences 6 (1996) 8–15.
[27] P. C. Hohenberg, B. I. Halperin, Theory of dynamical critical phenomena, Reviews of Modern Physics 49 (1977) 435–479.
[28] D. Jasnow, J. Vinals, Coarse-grained description of thermo-capillary flow, Physics of Fluids 8 (1996) 660–669.
[29] N. Ju, The global attractor for the solutions to the three dimensional viscous primitive equations, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 17 (2007) 159–179.
[30] D. Kay, V. Styles, R. Welford, Finite element approximation of a cahn-hilliard-navier-stokes system, Interfaces Free Bound 10 (2008) 15–43.
[31] D. Kay, R. Welford, Efficient numerical solution of cahn-hilliard-navier-stokes fluids in 2d, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 29 (2007) 2241–2257.
[32] J. Málek, J. Nečas, A finite-dimensional attractor for three-dimensional flow of incompressible fluids, Journal of Differential Equations 127 (1996) 498–518.
[33] J. Málek, D. Pražák, Large time behavior via the method of ℓ-trajectories, Journal of Differential Equations 181 (2002) 243–279.
[34] A. Miranville, S. Zelik, Exponential attractors for the cahn-hilliard equation with dynamical boundary conditions, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences 28 (2005) 709–735.
[35] J. Petersson, A note on quenching for parabolic equations with dynamic boundary conditions, Nonlinear Analysis 58 (2004) 417–423.
[36] L. Popescu, A. R. Bernal, On a singularly perturbed wave equation with dynamical boundary conditions, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh-A 134 (2004) 389–413.
[37] J. Pruss, R. Racke, S. Zheng, Maximal regularity and asymptotic behavior of solutions for the cahn-hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata 185(4) (2006) 627–648.
[38] R. Racke, S. Zheng, The cahn-hilliard equation with dynamic boundary conditions, Advances in Differential Equations 8 (2003) 83–110.
[39] J. C. Robinson, Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems: An Introduction to Dissipative Parabolic Partial Differential Equations and the Theory of Global Attractors, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
[40] J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $l^p(0,t;b)$, Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata 146 (1987) 65–96.
[41] V. N. Starovoitov, The dynamics of a two-component fluid in the presence of capillary forces, Mathematical Notes 62 (1997) 244–254.
[42] M. Tachim, Pullback attracots for a non-autonomous cahn-hilliard-navier-stokes system in 2d, Asymptotic Analysis 90 (2014) 21–51.
[43] R. Temam, Infinite-dimensional systems in mechanics and physics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
[44] L. Yang, Uniform attractors for the closed process and applications to the reaction-diffusion with dynamical boundary condition, Nonlinear Analysis 71 (2009) 4012–4025.
[45] B. You, F. Li, Well-posedness and global attractor of the cahn-hilliard-brinkman system with dynamic boundary conditions, Dynamics of Partial Differential Equations 13(1) (2016) 75–90.
[46] B. You, C. K. Zhong, Global attractors for p-laplacian equations with dynamic flux boundary conditions, Advanced Nonlinear Studies 13 (2013) 391–410.
[47] L. Zhao, H. Wu, H. Huang, Convergence to equilibrium for a phase-field model for the mixture of two viscous incompressible fluids, Communications in Mathematical Sciences 7 (2009) 939–962.
[48] Y. Zhou, J. Fan, The vanishing viscosity limit for a 2d cahn-hilliard-navier-stokes system with a slip boundary condition, Nonlinear Analysis:

Real World Applications 14 (2013) 1130–1134.